

# Exploring Privacy and Security as Drivers for Environmental Sustainability in Cloud-Based Office Solutions (Extended Abstract)

Jason Kayembe  
jason.kayembe@ulb.be  
Université Libre de Bruxelles  
Brussels, Belgium

Iness Ben Guirat  
iness.ben.guirat@ulb.be  
Université Libre de Bruxelles  
Brussels, Belgium

Jan Tobias Mühlberg  
jan.tobias.muehlberg@ulb.be  
Université Libre de Bruxelles  
Brussels, Belgium

## ABSTRACT

This paper explores the intersection of privacy, cybersecurity, and environmental impacts, specifically energy consumption and carbon emissions, in cloud-based office solutions. We hypothesise that solutions that emphasise privacy and security are typically “greener” than solutions that are financed through data collection and advertising. To test our hypothesis, we first investigate how the underlying architectures and business models of these services, e.g., monetisation through (personalised) advertising, contribute to the services’ environmental impact. We then explore commonly used methodologies and identify tools that facilitate environmental assessments of software systems. By combining these tools, we develop an approach to systematically assess the environmental footprint of the user-side of online services, which we apply to investigate and compare the influence of service design and ad-blocking technology on the emissions of common web-mail services. Our measurements of a limited selection of such services does not yet conclusively support or falsify our hypothesis regarding primary impacts. However, we are already able to identify the greener web-mail services on the user-side and continue the investigation towards conclusive assessment strategies for online office solutions.

## KEYWORDS

privacy, security, environmental impacts

### Reference Format:

Jason Kayembe, Iness Ben Guirat, and Jan Tobias Mühlberg. 2024. Exploring Privacy and Security as Drivers for Environmental Sustainability in Cloud-Based Office Solutions (Extended Abstract). In *LOCO '24: 1st International Workshop on Low Carbon Computing, December 03, 2024*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.16340>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Following growing concerns over the environmental impacts of human activities across all sectors, this paper investigates the intersection of privacy, security, and the environmental consequences of ICT services, with a particular focus on web-based email services as an example of commonly used office solutions. We explore how

to define and evaluate these services, examine the architecture and business models they rely on, and envision a framework that can measure the energy consumption associated with specific email services. Our research hypothesis is that *online services that emphasise privacy and security are typically “greener,”* and we take web mailers as a case study to test this hypothesis.

Sending an email might seem like a trivial task; however the scale at which large email services operate makes their environmental impact significant. For instance, according to Statista, a web platform for markets statistics, an estimated 333 billion emails were sent and received daily worldwide in 2022 [17]. Perhaps even more important, beyond the data volume, is that the infrastructure supporting email services requires many components of the internet network to remain operational which, in return consumes energy and requires maintenance continuously. This is illustrated in [16] where Pärssinen et al. take inventory of common internet network devices to derive the overall environmental impact of the online-advertising industry. An important factor influencing the environmental impacts of the different services is the business model behind the services. We identify six key models: 1. subscription-based, 2. transaction-based, 3. freemium, 4. donation-based, 5. advertisement-based, and 6. monetisation through data collection and reselling. Specifically, services that rely on advertising and data collection often deploy additional technologies that go beyond email functionalities, leading to increased energy consumption: user tracking, training of personalised models, and further advertising technologies that require large-scale infrastructure for storage and data processing, thereby compounding their environmental footprint. Additionally, the sending and rendering of advertisements monopolises resources. In a study assessing the environmental cost of online advertising, Pärssinen et al. [16] describe the mechanism: when a user visits a web page, it often initiates thousands of connections to data centres on the user’s behalf. Some of these data centres keep the connections open to deliver advertisements as long as the user remains on the page, thereby monopolising network, data centre, and user device resources. Pearce et al. [12] quantify this waste for user devices in their initiative to estimate the energy savings achievable through the use of ad-blockers, showing that page load times can decrease by up to 28% with ad-blockers. This represents potential energy savings of 13.5 billion kWh per year for internet users worldwide.

Thus, business models centred on data collection raise not only privacy and security concerns but also exacerbate environmental costs. Validating the relationship between business models and energy consumption can guide the design of more secure, privacy

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

LOCO '24, December 03, 2024, Glasgow, UK

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.16340>

friendly and also more sustainable online services. This paper compares the environmental burdens of three email service providers: Microsoft Outlook and Gmail, both of which rely heavily on advertising and tracking technologies, and Proton Mail, which operates on a privacy-preserving and end-to-end secure freemium model without the use of advertisements or trackers.

## 2 METHODOLOGY

We propose an approach that builds upon, and extends the work of Pesari et al. [13] who examine the power usage of a computer visiting global news websites when using ad-blockers. Our approach is designed to assess the energy consumption of a web service by breaking it down into distinct, measurable functional units. Our framework aims to (i) facilitate the comparison of similar services based on their energy consumption and (ii) highlight the connection between data collection, processing, and the associated environmental costs. We identify three key components in the assessment: (1) user side, (2) network and server side, and (3) embodied and end-of-life (EoL) emissions.

### 2.1 User-Side Assessment

To evaluate the emissions released by a user device when accessing the service, and to identify the attributable share for tracking and advertising, our framework involves six steps:

- (1) **Select Comparable Services:** The framework is designed to compare different implementations of online services. Different implementations may reveal differences in consumption profiles, which could be attributed to various factors distinguishing the implementations. However, in our case, the aim is not to identify the greener solution, rather to assess the impact of advertising mechanisms on device energy consumption. Hence we run the same service multiple times, both with and without the ad-blocker activated. Additionally we configure the browser to allow the blocking of cookies and the restriction of certain website tracking capabilities in order to account for the impact of tracking mechanisms.
- (2) **Define Functional Units:** We identify the common scenarios that users typically engage in when using the service such as logging into the account, reading three emails, replying to, and logging out.
- (3) **Automate the identified scenarios for repeated execution without manual intervention.**
- (4) **Monitor the Scenarios:** This step involves executing the scripts developed in the previous step and monitoring (i) machine power or energy consumption (in Watts or Joules) and (ii) data traffic on the network (in Bytes). This is achieved using metric tool APIs relying on modern computers' embedded sensors to track their components power consumption.
- (5) **Convert Energy to Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions:** This is typically done using an average grid energy mix, which translates to the environmental cost of electricity production (expressed in kgCO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent per kWh).
- (6) **Assess the User Side Use-Phase Emissions:** Perform tasks 1 to 6 for both services and compute the differences in their GHG emissions. Additionally, compute the differences in the network data traffic they generated.

### 2.2 Network and Server-Side Assessment

Estimating server-side emissions is challenging due to the lack of transparency from companies regarding environmental impacts and data processing and storage. This is highlighted, for example, by the European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS) who found that the European Commission's use of Microsoft 365 does not comply with EU data protection regulations due to unclarity regarding the collection, purpose, and processing location of personal data [1]. Therefore, previous studies assessing the environmental impact of the ICT sector [3, 10] often rely on global market and industry statistics for estimates. This leads to significant uncertainty across different studies. In particular, the impact of training and maintaining advertising models is assumed to have high impacts that are hard to assess: training AI models is not only known to be a computationally intensive task but also often requires extra hardware accumulating thereby more embodied emissions. As underlined in a review on different estimates of ICT climate impact [3], the rising trend in AI and data science can be regarded as a threat to the future of the ICT climate impact as it drives a steep growth in data storage and processing. Additionally, models are eventually used to target ads more effectively, leveraging the relationship, studied in [4], between perceived consumption-promoting online content and consumption levels. Both kind of impact, i.e., direct and indirect, make it difficult to derive precise estimates of server-side energy consumption for any specific service. Nevertheless, we include this step to emphasise the importance of recognising and maintaining awareness of the substantial energy consumption and associated GHG emissions linked to data processing:

- (1) **Collect Data from ICT Sector:** We rely on the estimations provided in [10], as it contains the most recent data available. Specifically, we use the study's estimates of network and server-side emissions per GB of data handled.
- (2) **Estimate Network and Server-Side Emissions:** Multiply the difference in data traffic (calculated in step 6) by the respective ratios identified in the previous step to estimate the share of attributable network and server emissions.

### 2.3 Embodied and End-of-Life Assessment

Similarly to the previous evaluation, this step involves gathering data from prior studies that assess the ICT sector [10]. Specifically, we need the ratios of the estimated embodied and End-of-Life (EoL) emissions for the network and server sides per GB of data handled.

## 3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We present a first application of our framework to estimate and compare the environmental footprint of three major email providers: Microsoft Outlook [11], Gmail [6], and Proton Mail [15]. We aim to compare these services and assess the impact of browsing with and without an ad-blocker, thus currently focusing on the user side.

We first created different email accounts with each provider. Six fundamental functional units were defined: Idle, Login, Logout, No attachment, Attachment, Reply, and Delete. The idea is to estimate consumption for more complex functional units by aggregating the performance of basic ones.

We then developed a simulation tool using Selenium [2] in order to automate scenarios such as mouse clicks, user interactions,

logging in, etc. This tool is available [9]. For our evaluation we use the Green Metric Tool (GMT) [7] which is specifically designed to monitor the power consumption of software. To achieve this it uses containers which are small, lightweight virtual environments that contain the necessary dependencies for programs to run. By isolating the program in a container, the GMT creates a controlled environment that accurately evaluate the specific energy consumption and data transfer attributable to the container. As an indicator, the tool automatically converts the data traffic exchanged by the program on the network into the corresponding shares of the network's and server's emissions, which corresponds to the network and server-side assessment[2.2] in our framework. Finally, it can also accept relevant parameters describing the machine on which it runs to estimate the monitored program's share of embodied emissions. This allows an assessment of the user side embodied emissions. We conducted five runs for each functional unit, collecting data on CPU energy, memory energy, machine energy, and network I/O. While no significant differences were found, suggesting that ad-blockers did not notably affect energy consumption, comparison among providers showed some differences, with Gmail being slightly more energy-efficient and Proton consuming more energy, particularly in memory usage. We then provide estimates for emissions based on energy consumption. An objective is to extend these measurements and contribute to the Energy ID project [8] consisting in developing impact-based score cards for different software services.

### 3.1 Limitations and Future Directions

The primary limitation of this work, as previously mentioned, is the lack of data transparency from companies handling user information. While monitoring network exchanges can provide an estimate of the data collected by these platforms, there is no reliable way to assess the environmental impact of the data processing happening behind the scenes—simply because we don't know what is that processing. Enhancing our framework could involve listing the various data storage and processing technologies we are aware of, along with approximate estimates of their carbon cost per gigabyte. This might help us gauge how far a given provider's practices deviate from our current estimates. However, such insights would remain indicative at best and could not serve as a basis for direct comparison. Our view is that, without regulations requiring stakeholders in this industry to disclose much more detailed information about their activities, it will remain challenging to achieve a comprehensive and accurate estimate.

In terms of network consumption, we believe our estimates are closer to reality. However, as Freitag et al. discuss in their comparative analysis of ICT climate impact estimates [3], there is ongoing debate in the field regarding this issue. We recognise that network consumption is not elastic, which challenges the validity of our emission allocation. Networks are designed to handle peak activity, consuming a constant amount of energy regardless of data traffic levels. It is only when growing data demand requires infrastructure expansion that emissions increase. Nevertheless, since higher data transfer eventually results in higher emissions, we maintain that allocating responsibility for network emissions is necessary, with the volume of data exchanged serving as a suitable criterion.

On the user side, for which we have the most reliable estimates, several limitations need to be addressed. First, our tool currently does not account for the impact of the ad-blocker itself. This limitation could potentially be addressed by incorporating an external ad-blocker such as Pi-hole [14] which blocks ads at the network level by supplying non-routable DNS entries for ad servers. Additionally, to ensure a fair comparison among providers, functional units have been standardised to operate for the same duration across different providers using wait statements. While this approach helps maintain consistency, it reduces the click rate and may hide the time savings achieved by the ad-blocker, as highlighted by Pearce et al. [12]. To cross-validate our findings, we could re-run the tests without these wait statements and place greater focus on the time savings aspect. Another reason for not observing significant differences between runs with and without ad-blockers could be that web-mail services typically display fewer ads, relying instead on data collection and reselling as their business model. Ad-blockers are less effective against such mechanisms as most processing happens server-side. This could explain the lack of variation in our current results. We are also critically aware of projections claiming that between 50% and 90% of all email traffic is spam [5, 18], the management of which might have a measurable impact on sustainability metrics of a mail service. We leave assessment and evaluation of these aspects for future work.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

Our work offers insights into the environmental impact of online services. We proposed an approach and framework for the impact assessment of software systems and partially apply this to evaluate services such as web mailers. Our approach not only facilitates comparison between similar services based on energy consumption, but also highlights the connection between data collection, processing, and their environmental costs. Ongoing research focuses on expanding sample sizes, incorporating more diverse scenarios for functional units, and including server-side data for greater accuracy, and to make the results more accessible to decision makers.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the Brussels-Capital Region - Innoviris for financial support under grant numbers 2024-RPF-2 and 2024-RPF-4, and the CyberExcellence programme of the Walloon Region, Belgium (grant 2110186).

## REFERENCES

- [1] 2024. European Commission's use of Microsoft 365 infringes data protection law for EU institutions and bodies | European Data Protection Supervisor. <https://www.edps.europa.eu/press-publications/press-news/press-releases/2024/european-commissions-use-microsoft-365-infringes-data-protection-law-eu-institutions-and-bodies>
- [2] Software Freedom Conservancy. 2024. Selenium. <https://www.selenium.dev> Accessed: 2024-10-02.
- [3] Charlotte Freitag, Mike Berners-Lee, Kelly Widdicks, Bran Knowles, Gordon S. Blair, and Adrian Friday. 2021. The real climate and transformative impact of ICT: A critique of estimates, trends, and regulations. *Patterns* 2, 9 (Sept. 2021), 100340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2021.100340>
- [4] Vivian Frick, Ellen Matthies, John Thøgersen, and Tilman Santarius. 2021. Do online environments promote sufficiency or overconsumption? Online advertisement and social media effects on clothing, digital devices, and air travel consumption. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour* 20, 2 (March 2021), 288–308. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.1855>

- [5] JuiHsi Fu, PoChing Lin, and SingLing Lee. 2014. Detecting spamming activities in a campus network using incremental learning. *Journal of network and computer applications* 43 (2014), 56–65.
- [6] Google. 2024. Gmail. <https://www.google.com/gmail/about/> Accessed: 2024-10-02.
- [7] Green Coding Project. 2024. Philosophy and Methodology of Green Coding. <https://docs.green-coding.io/docs/prologue/philosophy-methodology/> Accessed: 2024-10-02.
- [8] Green Coding Project. 2024. Philosophy and Methodology of Green Coding. <https://www.green-coding.io/projects/energy-id/> Accessed: 2024-10-02.
- [9] Jason Kayembe. 2024. Artifact: Exploring Privacy and Security as Drivers for Environmental Sustainability in Cloud-Based Office Solutions. <https://github.com/jkayembe/thesis>
- [10] Jens Malmodin, Nina Lövehagen, Pernilla Bergmark, and Dag Lundén. 2024. ICT sector electricity consumption and greenhouse gas emissions–2020 outcome. *Telecommunications Policy* 48, 3 (2024), 102701.
- [11] Microsoft. 2024. Microsoft Outlook. <https://outlook.live.com/mail/> Accessed: 2024-10-02.
- [12] Joshua M Pearce. 2020. Energy conservation with open source ad blockers. *Technologies* 8, 2 (2020), 18.
- [13] Fabio Pesari, Giovanni Lagioia, and Annarita Paiano. 2023. Client-side energy and GHGs assessment of advertising and tracking in the news websites. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 27, 2 (2023), 548–561.
- [14] PiHole. 2024. Overview of Pi-hole - Pi-hole documentation. <https://docs.pi-hole.net/>
- [15] Proton. 2024. Proton Mail. <https://proton.me/mail> Accessed: 2024-10-02.
- [16] M. Pärssinen, M. Kotila, R. Cuevas, A. Phansalkar, and J. Manner. 2018. Environmental impact assessment of online advertising. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* 73 (Nov. 2018), 177–200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2018.08.004>
- [17] Statista. 2024. Daily Number of Emails Worldwide from 2017 to 2025. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/456500/daily-number-of-e-mails-worldwide/> Accessed: 2024-10-02.
- [18] Statista. 2024. Global spam volume as percentage of total e-mail traffic from 2011 to 2023. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/420400/spam-email-traffic-share-annual/> Accessed: 2024-10-02.